Determinants of Access to Agricultural Credit among Smallholder Maize Farmers: The Case of Hababo Guduru District, Horro Guduru Wollega Zone, Ethiopia

Lemane Gebeyehu^{1*}, Bezabih Emana², Fikadu Mitiku³, Tadesse Tolera Ejeta⁴

Abstract— Access to agricultural credit remains a major challenge for smallholder farmers in Ethiopia. One of the reasons for very low agricultural productivity in developing countries and particularly in Ethiopia is that lack of access to agricultural credit. Hence, we intend to identify determinants of access to agricultural credit among smallholder maize farmers in Hababo Guduru District, Horro Guduru Wollega Zone, Ethiopia. The data were obtained from a total of 260 sample households, 120 who have access to credit and 140 who do not have access to credit via two stage random sampling technique. Descriptive statistics and probit model were used to analyze the data. The results of the probit model show that age, sex, education, number of livestock owned, year of membership for the credit institution, frequency of extension contact and distance from credit source were significant factors affecting farmers' accessibility to agricultural credit in the study area. Therefore, government policy that intends to improve the smallholder farmer's access to agricultural credit facilities should formulate well-functioning system of providing credit.

Keywords—Agricultural credit, Smallholder, Maize Productivity, Ethiopia.

I. INTRODUCTION

Agricultural credit is an essential input along with modern for increased farm productivity and for sustainable agricultural development. But access to finance remains a major challenge for smallholder farmers in most developing countries like Ethiopia. The problem often is seen in terms of limited access to production credit to buy and use farm inputs as well as pay for non-family farm labor and other farm maintenance costs (Gashayie, 2015). Farmers are particularly in need of agricultural credit, because of the seasonal pattern of their activities and the important uncertainty they are facing (Ololade and Olagunju, 2013). Availability and access to adequate, timely and low cost credit from institutional sources has great importance especially for smallholder farmers (Elias et al., 2015). In addition, credit is an important instrument for improving the welfare of the poor directly through

consumption smoothening that reduces their vulnerability to short-term income.

The reasons for limited access to agricultural credit from formal sources are that, each credit source has its own constraints that limit either the ability of a farmer to obtain credit from the source or the amount of credit the farmer wishes to borrow. For instance, (Owusu-Antwi and Antwi, 2010) state that formal financial markets often require collateral in the form of land or houses as a pre-requisite for granting loans to borrowers which are often out of reach of majority of the farming population. As a result, there is a wide gap between owned and required capital to finance the agricultural activities of smallholder farmers since the income from subsistence agriculture does not yield much surplus beyond family consumption and other social obligations (Amentae *et al.*, 2017). Lack of access to capital

¹Department of Agricultural Economics, Wollega University, Shambu, Ethiopia

² HEDBEZ Businesses and Consultancy, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

³Department of Agricultural Economics and Agribusiness Management, Jimma University, Jimma, Ethiopia

⁴Department of Agricultural Economics, Ambo University, Woliso Campus, Woliso, Ethiopia

in rural areas is one of the major factors which hinder the development of agriculture.

Smallholder farmers need production capital to improve their production activity. The role of agricultural credit is closely related to providing needed resources which farmers cannot source from their own available capital. The provision of credit can encourage the farmers to use modern technologies and procure inputs for farm use, thus bringing them to a higher level of productivity and increasing their incomes (Meeme, 2013).

However, inadequate credit supply is a central problem upon which other production factors exert negative influence on farmers' output and productivity (Afolabi *et al.*, 2014). Limited access to agricultural credits perpetuates poverty and low quality of life among farmers. This is because some of the innovation which the farmers wish to adopt may be too expensive to procure if they have restricted access to credit facilities (Bolarinwa and Fakoya, 2011). For farmers that are fortunate enough to have access tocredit, the problem of low productivity in production still comes up in situations where there is a wide gap between the amount of credit requested and the amount supplied. This study investigates the determinants of access to agricultural credit from formal credit in the study area.

II. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

2.1. Description of the Study Area

The study was conducted in the Hababo Guduru district, Horro Guduru Wollega Zone of Oromia National Regional State, Western Ethiopia. The district located at 303 km away from Addis Ababa, the capital city of Ethiopia and located at 09°20'N and 37°20'E geographical coordinates and at an altitude of approximately 2296 m.a.sl. According to the 2011 annual report of the district, the monthly mean temperature varies from 14.9°C to 17.5°C and annual rainfall ranges from 1000-2400mm. The area characterized by almost favorable climate with five to six months of rainy seasons. The study area is classified into different agro climatic zones such as low land, midhighland and highland. Mixed crop-livestock agriculture is the dominant production system in the area.

2.2. Data Collection Method and Sources

Structured questionnaire was prepared to collect survey data for the study. The questionnaire was pre-tested to assess its reliability, clarity and to avoid duplication and to estimate the time requirement during data collection. The data were collected from primary and secondary sources. Primary data were collected from the selected maize producer farmers

and secondary data were collected from office of agriculture, Oromia Credit and Savings Share Company (OCSSCO), Microfinance Institutions (MFI) and other published and unpublished sources.

2.3. Sampling Procedure

A two stage random sampling technique was used to obtain a sample of 260 maize farmers for the study. Among 14 kebele administrations (KAs) available in the district, one kebele is urban. So, selection was done from 13 rural KAs. In the first stage, four rural kebele administrations were selected using simple random sampling method. In the second stage, a total of 260 respondents, 120 who have access to credit and 140 who do not have access to credit were selected based on probability proportional to size from the respective kebele administration.

2.4. Analytical Model

2.4.1. Specification of the probit model

The major focus of the study was the probability of the outcome, that is, whether the respondent has access to credit or not from formal credit institutions. For this study, the probit model was employed. The probit model constrains the estimated probabilities to lie between 0 and 1. It also relaxes the constraint that the effect of the independent variable is constant across different predicted values of the dependent variable (Nagler, 2002). The probit model assumes that while we only observe the values of 0 and 1 for the outcome variable Y, there is a latent, unobserved continuous variable Y* that determines the value of Y (Sebopetji and Belete, 2009). Thus, the probit model equations are specified as follows:

The empirical model for assessing the determinants of smallholder farmer's access to credit is presented in equation below.

Positive values of Y* are observed as Y=1 while negative or zero values of Y* are observed as Y=0.While Y=1 if a household head has access to credit and 0 if otherwise, β is the coefficient vector of the parameters to be estimated, X_j represents a matrix of characteristics influencing credit access status of maize farmers and the error component (e) is assumed to follow a standard normal distribution with mean zero and constant variance.

Computing marginal effect after estimating the coefficient in logit or probit model is important to facilitate interpretation. The marginal effect measures the changes in

2.5. Measurement and definitions of variables for the model

2.5.1. The dependent variables of probit regression models

The dependent variable for the probit analysis is of dichotomous nature representing smallholder farmer's access to formal credit. This is to distinguish or discriminate between those who have access to credit and those who do not haveaccess to credit from formal source in the study area. It takes value of "1" for those who have access "0" for those who do not have access to formal credit.

the probability of the occurrence of the dependent variable, Y (access to credit), as a result of a unit change in an independent variable X.

2.5.2. The independent variables and their definitions used in probit model

From the literature review, several studies on factors influencing smallholder farmers' access to formal credit are used to establish working hypotheses of this study. Among a number of factors, which have been related to smallholder farmers access to formal credit, the following demographic, socio-economic, communication, institutional and psychological factors are hypothesized to explain the dependent variable. The summary of the potential explanatory variables which are hypothesized to influence the probability of access to agricultural credit from formal source in the study area are given in Table 1.

Table 1: Description of explanatory variables for credit access

Variables	Description	Measurement	A priori	Reference
			expectation	
Dependent				
variable				
AACRED	Small holder farmer's access to formal	Dummy:1 if		
	credit	access,0		
		otherwise		
Covariates				
AGEHH	Age of farm household	Years	-	Awotide et al.(2015)
SEXHH	Sex of household head	Dummy:1 if male,	+	Omononaet al. (2010)
		0 otherwise		
FSIZEAD	Family size man equivalent	Number	-	Tang et al. (2010)
EDULEVEL	Number of years of formal education	Years	-	Kangogo et al. (2013)
TOTLHIH	Total land holding in hectare	Hectare	+	Lensink et al.(2009)
TLU	Total livestock unit of farmers	Numbers	-	Yehuala, (2008)
DISTAINS	Distance institution from farmers home	Km	-	Tang et al.(2010)
COLLTER	Assets willing to offer to get credit	Dummy: 1 if yes,	+	Olaoye et al. (2012)
		0 otherwise		
FEXTSERV	Frequency of extension contact per a	Numbers	+	Abdalla and Ebiadalla
	year			(2012)
RISK	Risk attitude of the farmers towards	Dummy: 1 if yes,	_	Bigsten <i>et al.</i> (2003)
	credit	0 otherwise		-
NUYRMEM	Years of membership for formal credit	Number of years	+	Abdul-Jalil, (2015)

Source: Authors' calculation from survey data (2017)

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Demographic and socio-economic characteristics of sample households

Results of the descriptive statistics of continuous variables indicated that there was a significant difference between

who have access to credit and who do not have access to credit with regard educational level, land size, total livestock, years of membership for credit institution, extension contact and distance from credit source were significantly different for the two groups (Table 2).

However, two groups was the same in terms of age of household head, family size in adult equivalent, collateral and risk attitude towards credit. The existence of significant difference between the two groups for selected variables suggests that they may have an influence on smallholder farmer's access to formal credit.

Table 2: Descriptive statistics of sample households (for continuous variables)

Variable	Have access to credit (N=120)			Have no access to credit(N=140)		Total (N=260)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Age	42.54	8.97	43.01	9.23	42.77	9.1	-0.417
Family size(ME)	1.51	0.21	1.07	0.19	1.29	0.2	-1.644
Education	3.6	2.79	4.45	3.2	4.03	2.9	-2.23**
Land size	2.63	1.26	1.9	2.11	2.26	1.68	3.15***
Total livestock	5.64	2.2	6.97	2.45	6.3	2.32	-4.56***
Years of member- ship for CINS	6.5	2.67	5.34	2.34	5.92	2.5	3.72***
Extension contact	2.43	1.41	1.86	0.9	2.15	1.15	3.92***
Distance-CINS	1.75	1.19	2.69	1.69	2.22	1.44	-5.09***

Source: Authors' calculation from survey data (2017)

Note: ***, ** means significant at 1% and 5% probability level respectively.

Moreover, the results of categorical variables showed that sex of the respondent farmers (SEX) is one of the discrete variables that significantly affect access to formal credit. From the total sample households, 7.5% of those who have

access to credit and 15.0% of those who do not have access to credit were female headed households. The difference between the two groups was significant at 10% probability level.

Table 3: Descriptive statistics of sample households (for dummy variables)

		Have access to credit (N=120)			Have no access to credit(N=140)		Total (N=260)	
Variable		N	%	N	%	N	%	
Sex	Male	111	92.5	119	85	230	88.5	3.561*
	Female	9	7.5	21	15	30	11.5	
Collateral	Yes	58	48.3	64	45.7	122	46.9	0.178
	No	62	51.7	76	54.3	138	53.2	
Risk	Yes	65	54.2	68	48.6	133	51.2	0.81
attitude	No	5	45.8	72	51.4	127	48.82	

Source: Authors' calculation from survey data (2017)

Note: *** and * means significant at 1% and 10% probability level respectively.

3.2. Determinants of access to agricultural credit from formal sources

Prior to running the probit model, both the continuous and dummy explanatory variables were checked for the existence of multi-collinearity problem. The problem arises when at least one of the independent variables is a linear combination of the others. The existence of multi-collinearity might cause the estimated regression

coefficients to have the wrong signs and smaller t-ratios that might lead to wrong conclusions.

From the results in Table 4, the probit regression gave aPseudo-R² of about 0.2504. The value of Pearson chisquare indicated the goodness of fit for the fitted model.

Eleven variables were hypothesized to explain factors affecting smallholder farmer's access to formal credit.Out of these seven variables were found to be significant. These

are age, sex, years of formal education, number of livestock in tropical livestock unit (TLU) owned by the farmer, frequency of extension service from extension agent, distance of credit institution from farmers house and number of year of membership for formal credit organization.

Variables	Coefficient	Robust Std. Err.	d. Err. Z		Marginal effects	
Age	-0.0174	0.0105	-1.66*	0.097	-0.0069	
Sex	0.6845	0.2842	2.41**	0.016	0.2489	
Family size (ME)	-0.7307	0. 4720	-1.55	0.122	-0.2889	
Education	-0.0749	0.0316	-2.38**	0.017	-0.0296	
Land size	0.0889	0.0897	0.98	0.326	0.0348	
Livestock holding	-0.1535	0.0416	-3.69***	0.000	-0.0607	
Collateral	0.00034	0.1814	0.00	0.999	0.0114	
Extension contact	0.3121	0.0952	3.29***	0.001	0.1235	
Distance	-0.2237	0.0596	-3.75***	0.000	-0.0885	
Year of membership	0.0936	0.0341	2.75***	0.006	0.0370	
Risk	-0.1425	0.1795	-0.79	0.427	-0.0564	
_cons	0.8194	0.7672	1.07	0.285		

Number of obs=260; Wald chi2 (11) =68.21; Prob>ch2=0.0000; Pseudo R2=0.2504; Log likelihood=134.5169

Source: Authors' calculation from survey data (2017)

Note; ***, ** and * is significant at 1%, 5% and 10% level of significance respectively

The result displayed in Table 4 shows that age of farmers is negatively and significantly associated with probability of accessing credit at 10% significance level. The marginal effect shows that an increase in household age by one year leads to a 0.69% decrease in the probability of farmer's access to credit. It might be due to the fact that older farmers have larger capital basis not to see for credit. The result is consistent with the findings of Mpuga (2004) who found that younger farmers more likely borrow, since they are very active and energetic and more aggressive to investment.

Sex of household head has positively and statistically significant (at 5%) relationship with theprobability that households access to credit. Being maleheaded increases the probability of accessing credit from formal sources by 24.89% than being female headed. The implication is that women have few assets and have small landholding with low productivity thereby affecting their access to credit. The result is consistent with the findings of Awunyo-Vitor and Abankwah, (2012) who documented that males are more likely to access credit as compared to their female counterparts.

Educational level has negatively and statistically significant (at 5%) relationship with the probability that households access to credit. This demonstrates that an increase in one year of education status would decrease the probability of the farmer being credit access by 2.96%. The reason for this finding is that a large proportion of agricultural credit intervention like MFIs are targeted at poor farmers and an educated individual can joined to run their own business and earn income. This result in line with results from studies by Muhammed, (2013) who found that education have a negative relationship with agricultural credit and state that the likelihood of the larger amount of credit decreases as the level of farmer's education increases.

It was also apparent from the results that the number of livestock in tropical livestock unit (TLU) owned by the farmer is found to have a negative and statistically significant (at 1%) relationship with the probability that household credit access. A unit increases in total livestock decreases the probability of farmer's access to agricultural credit by 6.074%. One of the reason is that livestock is an asset farmers can liquidate during the cropping season to purchase inputs thereby reducing their need for credit. The results supported by the findings of Girma and Abebaw,

<u>www.aipublications.com</u> Page | 116

(2015), found that the higher number of livestock the household owns the less likely the household demand and borrow credit from the formal sources.

Frequency of extension contact is another factor, which is positively and significantly related to the dependent variable and that it is significant at 1% probability level. A unit increase in extension contact increases the probability of accessing agricultural credit by 12.35%. The result show the important role played by extension agents as sources of information and enforce the farmers to used credit for productive purpose rather than for consumption purpose. Abdalla and Ebaidalla, (2012) found that access of farmer to the formal credit institution is positively influenced by participation of the household head in extension activities. Farmers' perception of the distance between credit institutions and his or her house had negative and significant (at 1%) effect on the probability that households get access to credit. For one kilometer increase in distance, the probability of accessing agricultural credit from formal source decreases by 8.85%. The result indicates that farmers who perceive the distance between their house and the credit institution to be far are less likely to access credit. This result is consistent with those reported by (Tang et al., 2010) who found that an extra kilo meters between the nearest bank and village reduces the borrowing probability from the formal lenders by 1%.

In addition, the probability of accessing formal credit was also positively and significantly influenced by the number of years of membership in formal sources of credit. A one year increase in membership into formal credit institution increases the probability of the farmers' access to credit by 3.70%. Mpuga, (2004) found that access of farmer to formal credit institution is positively influenced by experience of the household head in credit use.

IV. CONCLUSION AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The aim of this study was to determine the factors influencing smallholder households' access to agricultural credit in Hababo Guduru district, Horro Guduru Wollega Zone, Ethiopia. The result of the probit regression model indicates thatthe probability of accessing formal credit was positively and significantly affected by sex of household head, frequency of extension contact, year of membership into credit intuition. In contrary, age of household head, years of formal education of household head, number of livestock in TLU and farmers' perception of distance of residence from credit source negatively and significantly

affect access to credit from formal source. Therefore,in line with the major findings, the study recommends that:

Livestock production is very important source of livelihood and a source of cash in rural areas which reduces farmers' demand for credit through generating additional income. Therefore, attention should be given for scientific livestock management system, which is salient for the welfare of rural households. Provision of training to credit beneficiaries concerning credit should be enhanced by credit institutions; this will ensure that credit users are well informed on loan requirements and repayment. Distance of the residence from credit institution was significantly and negatively affected the outcome variables of the study. Therefore, agricultural credit institutions like Micro Finance Institutions and Oromia Credit Saving and Sharing Company should be widely spread, so that farmers will only travel for a short distance to access financial services. The probability of accessing formal credit was also positively and significantly influenced by number of years of membership for formal sources of credit. Therefore, farmers should be members of credit institution, as this will help to reduce the problem of collateral and guarantor requirement which are prerequisite for accessing institutionalized credit.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge Ethiopian Ministry of Education generally, and Wollega University particularly for their funding the research work.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abdalla E. M., Ebaidalla, E. M., 2012. Determinants of small farmer's access to formal credit institutions in Kassala state, East Sudan. University of Kassala Journal, First Issue, April 2012.
- [2] Afolabi, O.I., Adegbite, D.A., Akinbode, S.O., Ashaolu, O.F., Shittu, A.M., 2014. Credit constraints: Its existence and determinants among poultry (Egg) farmers in Nigeria. British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade, 4(12): 1834-1848.
- [3] Amentae, T.K., Hamo, T.K., Gebresenbet, G., Ljungberg, D., 2017. Exploring Wheat Value Chain Focusing on Market Performance, Post-Harvest loss, and Supply Chain Management in Ethiopia: The Case of Arsi to Finfinnee Market Chain. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 9(8): 22–42.
- [4] Awunyo-Vitor, D., Abankwah, V., 2012. Substitutes or complements: Formal and informal credit demand by maize farmers in Ashanti and BrongAhafo Regions

- of Ghana. *International Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*, 2(3): 105-112.
- [5] Bolarinwa, K.K., Fakoya, E.O., 2011. Impact of farm credit on Farmers' socio-economic status in Ogun State, Nigeria. *Journal of Social Science*, 26(1): 67-71.
- [6] Elias, S., Ahmad, I. M., &Patil, B. L., 2015. The determinants of access to agricultural credit for small and marginal farmers' in Dharwaddistrict, Karnataka, India, 3(5): 1–5.
- [7] Gashayie, A., Singh, M.,2015. Agricultural finance constraints and innovative models experience for Ethiopia: Emprical Evidance from Developing Countries, 6(7), 39–50.
- [8] Girma, M., Abebaw, D., 2015. Determinants of formal credit market participation by rural farm households: Micro-level evidence from Ethiopia. In *Paper for presentation at the 13 th International Conference on the Ethiopian Economy. Ethiopian Economic Association (EEA) Conference Centre, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.*
- [9] Meeme, B.M., 2013. Factors influencing access to formal credit by small scale women tea farmers in Kenya: A case of Thika district, Kiambu County (Doctoral dissertation, Masters Thesis. Nairobi University).
- [10] Mpuga, P., 2004. Demand for credit in rural Uganda: Who cares for the peasants? In A paper presented at the conference on growth, poverty reduction and human development in Africa. Centre for the Studies of African Economies.
- [11] Muhammed, M.A., 2013. Formal and informal credit demand by rice farmers in the Northern region *of Ghana* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Ghana).
- [12] Nagler, J., 2002. Interpreting probit analysis. New York University, 2002.
- [13] Ololade, R.A., Olagunju, F.I., 2013. Determinants of access to credit among rural farmers in Oyo State, Nigeria. Global Journal of Science Frontier Research Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences, 13(2): 23-31.
- [14] Owusu-Antwi, G., Antwi, J., 2010. The analysis of the rural credit market in Ghana. *The International Business & Economics Research Journal*, 9(8): 45-56.
- [15] Sebopetji, T. O., Belete, A., 2009. An application of probit analysis to factors affecting small-scale farmers 'decision to take credit: A case study of the greater Letaba Local Municipality in South Africa. African journal of agricultural research, 4(8): 718-723.

[16] Tang, S., Z. Guan, S. Jin., 2010. Formal and informal credit markets and rural credit demand in China. In agricultural & applied economics association, CAES, & WAEA Joint Annual Meeting: 1–26.